

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,346	12/03/2001	Harry A. Glorikian	P696C1	4095	
24739	7590 08/01/2003				
	CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			CARDONE, JASON D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2142	( )	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		//			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Author Occurrence	10/006,346	GLORIKIAN, HARRY A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason D Cardone	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	October 2002 .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	±x раπе Quayie, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arrable as 6.6.6.3 110(a	, (a, e. (·).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u></li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) Ind Office Action .			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2142

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 24, line 12: Examiner suggests changing "GHPS" to "GPS".

Page 29, line 11: Examiner suggests changing "I". Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1-7 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 09/502,407. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeLorme et al. (hereinafter DeLorme), U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, DeLorme discloses an Internet-connected subscription server system, comprising: a data repository having data structures tagged according to locations and defined regions relative to the surface of the Earth [DeLorme, col. 32, lines 1-40];

a communication module for receiving data requests accompanied by location data [DeLorme, col. 30, line 57 – col. 31, line 41]; and

a code set for managing retrieval of information from the data repository in response to the data requests [DeLorme, col. 32, line 46 - col. 33, line 13];

characterized in that the system, receiving a data request, uses the location data accompanying the request to determine location in individual ones of pre-defined regions, and uses the pre-defined region information to access data structures and retrieve information related to the pre-defined regions for transmission in response to the data requests [ie. receiving location from a GPS device and outputting information in that region, DeLorme, col. 11, line 50 – col. 12, line 35, col. 32, line 46 – col. 33, line 13 and col. 47, lines 8-43].

Application/Control Number: 10/006,346

Art Unit: 2142

9. Regarding claim 5, DeLorme further discloses data structures are tagged according to time data in addition to location, data requests include time data, and the system accesses data structures in part according to the time data [DeLorme, col. 7, lines 54-65 and col. 33, lines 18-52].

Page 4

- 10. Regarding claim 6, DeLorme further discloses the system maintains subscriber information profiles, including subscriber interests, data requests identify individual subscribers, data structures are tagged according to interest categories, and the system accesses data structures in part according to the stored interests of the subscriber initiating a data request [DeLorme, col. 35, lines 9-33].
- 11. Regarding claim 7, DeLorme further discloses the data repository is a first data repository local to the Internet server, and wherein the system, though the code set, accesses remote Internet-connected information sources, and retrieves information from the remote sources according to one or more of stored client interests and the location data accompanying the client's request [DeLorme, col. 13, lines 47-67 and col. 36, line 31 col. 37, line 53].
- 12. Regarding claims 1-3, claims 1-3 have similar limitations as claims 4-7. Therefore, the similar limitations are disclosed under DeLorme for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 4-7 [Supra 4-7].

Art Unit: 2142

#### Conclusion

- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D Cardone whose telephone number is (703) 305-8484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. (9AM-6PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Powell can be reached on (703) 305-9703. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238	(After Final Communications)
(703) 746-7239	(Official Communications)
(703) 746-7240	(For Status inquiries, Draft Communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jason D Cardone

Examiner
Art Unit 2142

July 23, 2003